



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,322	03/29/2004	Christina Kabbash	45775-Z/JPW/AJM/DNS	5097
75	590 11/02/2005		EXAM	INER
John P. White			JONES, DAME	RON LEVEST
Cooper & Dunh	nam LLP			
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1618	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/813,322	KABBASH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		D. L. Jones	1618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by the second section apported by the second section and the second section apported by the second section and the second section apported by the second section apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	ugust 2005					
,	•	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>L</i>	•					
Dienoeit	ion of Claims	en parto quayro, 1000 c.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
•	1	Parith and a Parith and a second					
	Claim(s) 1-12,60-75 and 78-82 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· -	Claim(s) <u>60-75</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 78-82</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	ս (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,322

**Art Unit: 1618** 

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** 

The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment filed 8/15/05 wherein and 1.

Page 2

abstract was submitted; claims 1-6, 67, and 78 were amended; and claims 13-59, 76,

and 77 are canceled.

**Note**: Claims 1-12, 60-75, and 78-82 are pending.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

2. The Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/05 to the rejection of claims 1-12, 60, and

65-82 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 102 and/or 112 have been fully considered

and deemed persuasive for the reasons set forth below. Therefore, the said rejections

are hereby withdrawn.

112 First Paragraph Rejections

The 112, first paragraph, rejections are WITHDRAWN for reasons of record in

Applicant's response.

112 Second Paragraph Rejections

The 112, second paragraph, rejections are WITHDRAWN because Applicant has

amended/canceled the respective claim(s).

**Note**: It should be noted that the rejection of claims 1-12 as it relates to the

variable X is WITHDRAWN because Applicant has amended the claims to delete the

variable X.

**Art Unit: 1618** 

### 102 Rejections

The 102 rejections are WITHDRAWN because Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejections.

### **NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS**

## **New Matter Rejections**

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-12 and 78-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- I. Claims 1-12: The claims have been amended to replace  $(CH_2)_pX(R_7)_2$  with  $(CH_2)_p(R_7)_2$  and  $(CH_2)_pXR_7COR_8$  with  $(CH_2)_pR_7COR_8$  (see lines 8-9). Likewise,  $(CH_2)_pX(CH_2)$  with  $(CH_2)_p(CH_2)$  and  $(CH_2)_pXCOH$  with  $(CH_2)_pCOH$  (see lines 17-18). However, Applicant has not point out where support for the claim as now amended may be found. In addition, search of the specification does not disclose support for where R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, and R6 is  $(CH_2)_p(R_7)_2$  or  $(CH_2)_pR_7COR_8$ .
- II. <u>Claims 1-12</u>: The claims have been amended to incorporate the phrase 'wherein the linkage to the benzene ring by R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 is independently

Application/Control Number: 10/813,322 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

selected from the group consisting of N, S, O, and C'. Initially, the claims contained a broad disclosure that phrase 'wherein a linkage to the benzene ring *may alternatively be* N, S, O, or C'. Now, the claims have been amended to 'wherein *the linkage to the benzene ring by R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 is independently selected from the group consisting of N, S, O, and C'*. Also, it is noted that the amended claim excludes a linkage between R6 and the benzene ring. In particular, the amendment to the claims now require that there be a linkage to the benzene ring by R1, R2, R3, R4, or R5.

However, the compounds present in the specification, in particular, gemfibrozil, does not contain a linkage to R1, R2, R3, R4, or R5.

III. Claims 78-82: The claims have been amended to a method of determining whether or not a bacterium is sensitive to gemfibrozil having the steps of independent claim 78. In particular, the specification does not disclose that a specific Legionella pneumophila concentration is determined and used to determine whether the other bacterial is sensitive to gemfibrozil. Instead, Example 1, pages 17-25, disclose that the minimum gemfibrozil concentration at which no growth occurred was 10 mg/ml, but the concentrations of gemfibrozil used for the other bacteria is different. Thus, the step of contacting the bacterium with a concentration of gemfibrozil known to inhibit the growth of Legionella pneumophila was not consistent with that which had been determined in Example 1.

# 112 Second Paragraph Rejections

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/813,322 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

<u>Claims 1-12</u>: The claims as written are ambiguous because of the phrase 'wherein the linkage to the benzene ring by R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 is independently selected from the group consisting of N, S, O, and C'. In particular, when the linkage is by C (carbon) is that linkage by CH2 or by some other carbon containing group?

#### **ALLOWABLE CLAIMS**

7. Claims 60-75 are allowable over the prior art of record for reasons of record in the office action mailed 5/12/05.

#### COMMENTS/NOTES

- 8. It is duly noted that whenever A is a C1-C10 alkyl (see independent claim 1), then Q is 1 (this interpretation is consistent with Applicant's specification). For example, when A is (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, then Q is 1.
- 9. It should be noted that the amended claims are free of the art of record. However, Applicant MUST address and overcome the 112 rejections above.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,322

**Art Unit: 1618** 

- 10. Applicant is respectfully requested to replace 'comprises' with proper Markush terminology (see MPEP 803.02) in claim 1, line 27.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,322

**Art Unit: 1618** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. L. Jones Primary Examiner Page 7

Art Unit 1618

October 31, 2005